

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,077	02/05/2004		Patrick J. Treado	030687	6027
41396	7590	01/03/2005		EXAMINER	
DUANE M P. O. BOX 1		LLP	LAUCHMAN, LAYLA G		
		STREET, 5TH FLOO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HARRISBURG, PA 17108-1003				2877	· · · ·

DATE MAILED: 01/03/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/773,077	TREADO ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	L. G. Lauchman	2877					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed swill be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status		•					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 O</u>	<u>ctober 2004</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) ☐ Claim(s) 12-14 and 21-26 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 22-26 is/are allowed.  6) ☐ Claim(s) 12,14,21 and 22 is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) 13 is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)	_						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		atent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/773,077

Art Unit: 2877

### **DETAILED ACTION**

The Affidavit under 37 CFR 1.131 filed on 10/01/2004 is sufficient to overcome the US 6,571,117 reference to Marbach.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Raz et al (US 6,181,414).

The patent teaches a multi-channel spectral imaging module, comprising (see abstract and col. 1, lines 7-12): a near infrared imaging detection system and a visible imagery system, a visible illumination source and a device for detecting said visible light from the illuminated area of sample (see FIG. 1, col. 7. Lines 2-12).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

Application/Control Number: 10/773,077

Art Unit: 2877

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raz et al (US 6,181,414). The patent teaches all as applied to claim 12, except that the visible imagery system comprises a microscope and a macroscope. However, the use of those is well known in the art of visible imagery systems (see US 5,645,550 and US 6,530,882 describing macroscoping and microscoping viewing modes).

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 13 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23-26 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

As to Claim 23, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious processing said collected images to reconstruct an image of said sample, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 24, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious processing said collected images to reconstruct an image of said sample, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 25, the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a near infrared imaging spectrometer for selecting a near

Art Unit: 2877

infrared radiation image of said collimated light, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.

As to Claim 26 the prior art of record taken along or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious a near infrared imaging spectrometer for selecting a near infrared radiation image of said collimated light, in combination with the rest of the limitations of the claim.'

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 12, 14, 21 and 22 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Papers related to this application may be submitted to Technology Center 2800 by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed to TC 2877 via the PTO Fax Center located in CP4-4C23. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Center number is (703) 872-9306.

If the Applicant wishes to send a Fax dealing with either a Proposed Amendment or for discussion for a phone interview then the fax should:

- a) Contain either the statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the Fax Cover Sheet; and
  - b) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

Art Unit: 2877

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to L. G. Lauchman whose telephone number is (571) 272-2418. The examiner's normal work schedule is 8:00am to 4:30pm (EST), Monday through Friday. If attempts to reach examiner by the telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor Gregory J. Toatley, Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2059, ext. 77.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the TC receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1562.

L. G. Lauchman Patent Examiner Art Unit 2877 Page 5

December 22, 2004